



Eric Newman &lt;ericnumis106@gmail.com&gt;

**Re: World War II Era Mint Sets (Postscript)**

11 messages

To: [REDACTED] Ericnumis@aol.com Thu, Jun 26, 2014 at 5:52 PM

Howdy all,

Cleaning out old emails and found this string. Did anything ever come of it?

Tom DeLorey

In a message dated 2/27/2014 12:00:24 P.M. Mountain Daylight Time, [REDACTED] writes:

Back in the early 1980's, I went to upstate NY as an official representative of the ANA to view what Dave Bowers eventually auctioned as the Emory/Nichols Collection. Two generations of that family had purchased coins directly from the Mints from the mid-1890's until about 1940. Dave did a book about the collection, and as I recall reproduced much of the Mint correspondence in it.

There was even a tease in it in some letter about an order form from the Mint offering 1933 double eagles, but when I asked Dave about it during the Langbord trial he did not remember seeing said order form in the correspondence that came with the collection.

TD

In a message dated 2/27/2014 8:48:14 A.M. Mountain Standard Time, [REDACTED] writes:

Hi Folks,

Have any of you ever seen or heard of pre-1947 Treasury "double mint sets," as the attached letter and image suggest. We received the 1942 set shown here, along with similar 1943 through 1946 "mint sets" that remain unopened. The coins were wrapped in tissue, and placed inside the paper half dollar wrapper, shipped with the letter all inside a canvas bag with an attached mailing label, as shown. I would sure appreciate your independent thoughts.

Thanks in advance,

Mark

**Mark Borckardt | Senior Numismatist/Cataloger**  
**HERITAGE AUCTIONS**  
[REDACTED] | 800-USCoins (872-6467) | Fax: (214) 409-1345  
3500 Maple Avenue, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor

Dallas TX, 75219

[REDACTED] [www.HA.com](http://www.HA.com)



Dallas | New York | Beverly Hills | San Francisco | Houston | Paris | Geneva

Please join [www.HA.com](http://www.HA.com) today. Many benefits. Over 850,000 members!

Video: [Why Consign?](#)

[Click here for a Free Auction Evaluation in any category](#)

To: [REDACTED] Ericnumis@aol.com Thu, Jun 26, 2014 at 8:40 PM

Tom,  
I don't recall any new information coming up.

Roger Burdette  
[Quoted text hidden]

To: [REDACTED] Thu, Jun 26, 2014 at 10:31 PM  
[REDACTED] "Ericnumis@aol.com" <Ericnumis@aol.com>, [REDACTED]

The sets were sold in a recent auction. I will look up the sale and results tomorrow

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]  
Received: Thursday, 26 Jun 2014, 7:40pm  
To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: World War II Era Mint Sets (Postscript)

Tom,  
I don't recall any new information coming up.

Roger Burdette

-----Original Message-----

From: TomDeLorey  
To: [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Ericnumis <Ericnumis@aol.com>  
Sent: Thu, Jun 26, 2014 5:52 pm  
Subject: Re: World War II Era Mint Sets (Postscript)

Howdy all,  
  
Cleaning out old emails and found this string. Did anything ever come of it?

Tom DeLorey

In a message dated 2/27/2014 12:00:24 P.M. Mountain Daylight Time, [REDACTED] writes:  
Back in the early 1980's, I went to upstate NY as an official representative of the ANA to view what Dave Bowers eventually auctioned as the Emory/Nichols Collection. Two generations of that family had purchased coins directly from the Mints from the mid-1890's until about 1940. Dave did a book about the collection, and as I recall reproduced much of the Mint correspondence in it.

There was even a tease in it in some letter about an order form from the Mint offering 1933 double eagles, but when I asked Dave about it during the Langbord trial he did not remember seeing said order form in the correspondence that came with the collection.

TD

In a message dated 2/27/2014 8:48:14 A.M. Mountain Standard Time, [REDACTED] writes:  
Hi Folks,  
Have any of you ever seen or heard of pre-1947 Treasury "double mint sets," as the attached letter and image suggest. We received the 1942 set shown here, along with similar 1943 through 1946 "mint sets" that remain unopened. The coins were wrapped in tissue, and placed inside the paper half dollar wrapper, shipped with the letter all inside a canvas bag with an attached mailing label, as shown. I would sure appreciate your independent thoughts.  
Thanks in advance,  
Mark






Mark Borckardt | Senior Numismatist/Cataloger  
HERITAGE AUCTIONS

[REDACTED]  
3500 Maple Avenue, 17th Floor  
Dallas TX 75219  
[REDACTED]

[https://www.facebook.com/heritageauctions]<https://www.facebook.com/heritageauctions>[https://twitter.com/heritageauction]<https://twitter.com/heritageauction>[https://plus.google.com/103018917927912233380]<https://plus.google.com/103018917927912233380>[http://www.linkedin.com/company/heritage-auctions]<http://www.linkedin.com/company/heritage-auctions>[http://www.youtube.com/user/HeritageAuctions]<http://www.youtube.com/user/HeritageAuctions>  
Dallas | New York | Beverly Hills | San Francisco | Houston | Paris | Geneva

Please join [www.HA.com](http://www.ha.com)<<http://www.ha.com>> today. Many benefits. Over 850,000 members!  
Video: Why Consign?<<http://www.ha.com/c/video.zx>>  
Click here for a Free Auction Evaluation in any category<<http://www.ha.com/common/acquisitions.php?target=auctionevaluation>>

5 attachments

-  image011.jpg  
1K
-  image012.jpg  
1K
-  image013.jpg  
2K
-  image014.jpg  
1K
-  image015.jpg  
2K

Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 8:06 AM

[REDACTED] "Ericnumis@aol.com" <Ericnumis@aol.com>, [REDACTED]

All of these sets sold in our April Central States Auction, lots 5220-5224

1942 Opened \$1,762.50






1/14/2019

Gmail - Re: World War II Era Mint Sets (Postscript)

1943 Unopened \$3,818.75  
1944 Unopened \$2,820  
1945 Opened \$2,115  
1946 Unopened \$2,585

From: Borckardt, Mark - 1345  
Sent: Thursday, June 26, 2014 9:31 PM  
To: [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com); [accurateye@aol.com](mailto:accurateye@aol.com)  
Subject: RE: World War II Era Mint Sets (Postscript)  
[Quoted text hidden]






5 attachments

-  **image011.jpg**  
1K
-  **image012.jpg**  
1K
-  **image013.jpg**  
2K
-  **image014.jpg**  
1K
-  **image015.jpg**  
2K

To: [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com), [REDACTED] Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 8:47 AM

Thank you. Those are excellent numbers.  
Perhaps the sets are worth of a footnote in the Mint Set section of the Redbook. There may be more out there.  
Tom DeLorey

[Quoted text hidden]

- [Quoted text hidden]
- 
  - 
  - 
  - 
  - 

**Borckardt, Mark - 1345** Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 11:09 AM  
To: [REDACTED] ["Ericnumis@aol.com"](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com) <[Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com)>, [REDACTED]

Perhaps an additional footnote, Ken Bressett, would be the comparative price differential between opened and unopened mint sets from the double mint set period. Unopened sets, when they appear, bring substantially higher prices.  
  
Thank you,  
Mark Borckardt

1/14/2019

Gmail - Re: World War II Era Mint Sets (Postscript)

Senior Numismatist/Cataloger

Heritage Auctions

(214) 409-1345

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 27, 2014 7:47 AM  
**To:** Borckardt, Mark - 1345; [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com); [REDACTED]

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**To:** [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com)

Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 12:07 PM

Mark,  
Is it correct that these were packaged and sent by the Treasurer of the United States?

Roger  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Borckardt, Mark - 1345** [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com) **To:** [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com) ["Ericnumis@aol.com"](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com) [<Ericnumis@aol.com>](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com)

Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 12:10 PM

The transmittal letter is on letterhead of the Treasurer of the United States. The link below is for the first lot, 5220, in the April Sale

<http://coins.ha.com/itm/mint-sets/mint-and-year-sets/uncertified-1942-double-mint-set-/a/1204-5220.s>

Thank you,  
Mark Borckardt  
Senior Numismatist/Cataloger  
Heritage Auctions

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 27, 2014 11:07 AM  
**To:** [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com)

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**To:** [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com)

Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 12:14 PM

OK. That indicates the procedure begun in the 1920s continued into the War years. (Mints sent small quantities of new coins to the Treasurer who then sold them to collectors by the piece.) I was aware of this continuing into the late 1930s but had no physical evidence for the War period.

Thanks!

Roger

-----Original Message-----  
**From:** Borckardt, Mark - 1345 [markb@HA.com](mailto:markb@HA.com)  
[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Borckardt, Mark - 1345** [REDACTED] [Ericnumis@aol.com](mailto:Ericnumis@aol.com)

Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 12:17 PM

1/14/2019

Gmail - Re: World War II Era Mint Sets (Postscript)

To: [REDACTED]  
"Ericnumis@aol.com" <Ericnumis@aol.com>

Lot 5224 was the 1946 set which, although unopened, has a postmark from the Treasury Dept. in DC so it appears the same policy was in place through 1946, then the double mint sets began in 1947.

<http://coins.ha.com/itm/mint-sets/mint-and-year-sets/unopened-1946-double-mint-set-uncertified/a/1204-5224.s>

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Friday, June 27, 2014 11:15 AM

[Quoted text hidden]

[Quoted text hidden]

---

To: [REDACTED] Ericnumis@aol.com  
Cc: [REDACTED]

Fri, Jun 27, 2014 at 5:02 PM

Thanks for sharing all this was us. I wonder if the buyer will be able to get the coins certified with a special holder referencing the lot number or whatever to link the coins to the original mint set.

TD  
[Quoted text hidden]



Eric Newman &lt;ericnumis106@gmail.com&gt;

**Re: (no subject)**

4 messages

Wed, May 25, 2011 at 1:24 AM

**To:** [REDACTED] EricNumis@aol.com

[REDACTED]

Hear! Hear!  
TD

In a message dated 5/24/2011 11:00:47 P.M. Central Daylight Time, [REDACTED] writes:

May 25, 2011

12:00.01 a.m. or thereabouts!

Dear Eric,

From all of your fine friends in the RITTENHOUSE SOCIETY, warm wishes and love to you on your centenary. You have enriched all of our lives and those around you in other venues. Here is wishing you many more happy years.

All of us at the RITTENHOUSE SOCIETY

**Dave Lange** [REDACTED]

Wed, May 25, 2011 at 8:58 AM

**To:** [REDACTED] EricNumis@aol.com

[REDACTED]

This should be a national holiday for all numismatists!

Dave L.

**From:** [REDACTED]**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2011 1:24 AM**To:** [REDACTED] EricNumis@aol.com

[REDACTED]

[Redacted]  
**Subject:** Re: (no subject)

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Ron Guth**

Wed, May 25, 2011 at 9:07 AM

To: [Redacted]  
[Redacted]

Happy Birthday, Eric. You inspire us all to live long and, more importantly, to live well!

Ron G.

[Quoted text hidden]

---

**Wendell Wolka**

Wed, May 25, 2011 at 1:55 PM

[Redacted]  
Eric...from one paper guy to another - HAPPY BIRTHDAY!

Wendell Wolka

Sent from my iPhone

[Quoted text hidden]



Eric Newman &lt;ericnumis106@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Re: (no subject)**

2 messages

Wed, May 25, 2011 at 5:17 PM

To: [REDACTED]  
Cc: [REDACTED] EricNumis@aol.com

Excellent one, Ed!!!  
TD

In a message dated 5/25/2011 11:17:34 A.M. Central Daylight Time, [REDACTED] writes:

| Eric is, indeed, *The Fantastic 1804 Scholar*.

---

David Alexander [REDACTED]  
To: "EricNumis@aol.com" <EricNumis@aol.com>  
Cc: [REDACTED]

Thu, May 26, 2011 at 11:38 AM

05-26-11

Eric! All congratulations on completing your FIRST 100 years! Hope both you and I around for the SECOND!

I am in Irvine, California and cannot get back for the ccelebration, unfortunately.

David T. Alexander

Stack's Bowers

Founding President MCA

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 25, 2011 2:17 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED] EricNumis@aol.com  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]





Eric Newman &lt;ericnumis106@gmail.com&gt;

---

**Re: Multi-Mint coinage dies**

2 messages

---

To: [REDACTED] EricNumis@aol.com, Tue, Oct 4, 2011 at 4:52 PM  
Cc: [REDACTED]

Well, that would certainly be understandable if they stocked the new branch mint with a used but still serviceable reverse die. I believe that the Philadelphia Mint tended to keep the best dies for itself, and ship dies that did not hub as well or had repunchings or die doublings to the boondocks, so why would they not have shipped used dies as well.

The man to ask about Classic Head dies is John McCloskey.

TD

In a message dated 10/4/2011 3:46:06 P.M. Central Daylight Time, [REDACTED] writes:

The obverse is a known die for 1839-O quarter eagles (obverse mintmark), the high date obverse. The reverse appears to be identical to the reverse die used for all 1838 Philadelphia quarter eagles and some earlier 1837 quarter eagles. So far I have only seen a photo, but it comes from a collector/numismatist whom I know to be fairly knowledgeable, and he will be sending me the actual coin shortly.

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, October 04, 2011 3:35 PM  
**To:** [REDACTED]  
EricNumis@aol.com; [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Re: Multi-Mint coinage dies

I am not aware of any such circumstance. It is always possible that an unmintmarked reverse die was shipped to a branch mint, used with an identifiable obverse die to strike what looked like Philadelphia Mint coins, and that obverse die was then paired with a normally mintmarked reverse die to make branch mint coins, but it is a long shot. Two different years would be an even longer shot.

Why not tell us what the coins are? I promise not to tell.

TD

In a message dated 10/4/2011 3:25:07 P.M. Central Daylight Time, [REDACTED] writes:

Gentlemen,

Are any of you aware of any occurrences in the U.S. federal series of coinage dies used in more than one Mint? I am studying a coin that appears to be from a die used in Philadelphia in two consecutive

years, then at a branch mint the following year.

Thanks,

Mark B

---

David Bowers [REDACTED]

Tue, Oct 4, 2011 at 5:00 PM

To: [REDACTED]  
EricNumis@aol.com

We have the possibility that the six CC dollar dies shipped from CC to Phila in 1900 could have been used in the 1890s? We do know they were later used in New Orleans. The way to check this would be to see if any 1900-O/CC dollar reverses are the same as regular CC dollar reverses from the early 1890s.

-

qdb

---

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, October 04, 2011 4:35 PM

To: [REDACTED] EricNumis@aol.com;

Subject: Re: Multi-Mint coinage dies

I am not aware of any such circumstance. It is always possible that an unmintmarked reverse die was shipped to a branch mint, used with an identifiable obverse die to strike what looked like Philadelphia Mint coins, and that obverse die was then paired with a normally mintmarked reverse die to make branch mint coins, but it is a long shot. Two different years would be an even longer shot.

Why not tell us what the coins are? I promise not to tell.

TD

In a message dated 10/4/2011 3:25:07 P.M. Central Daylight Time, [REDACTED] writes:

Gentlemen,

Are any of you aware of any occurrences in the U.S. federal series of coinage dies used in more than one Mint? I am studying a coin that appears to be from a die used in Philadelphia in two consecutive years, then at a branch mint the following year.

Thanks,

Mark B